

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2479 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 28.04.2016**

Subhash Kohli

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kamlesh Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

On 06.04.2016 the following order was passed:-

“After arguing for some time, learned counsel states that he does not wish to press this revision on merits and he is

ready to vacate the said premises voluntarily without forcing the respondents to press the execution in case reasonable time is granted for the same.

I find this to be a fair submission.

Notice of motion.

Let the respondents be served through their counsel in the trial Court/Executing Court by way of dasti process.

Adjourned to 28.04.2016.

In the meantime, the dispossession of the petitioner shall remain stayed.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

Today with the intervention of learned counsel this dispute has been settled. In response to the offer made, the learned counsel for the respondents-landlord on instructions has stated that in case the petitioner-tenant files an undertaking before the Rent Controller within one month that he would vacate the premises voluntarily and give vacant physical possession to the respondents on or before 31.10.2016 without forcing the respondents to press execution application and will continue to pay rent in advance by the 7th of every month and will also pay other charges regularly and will also pay the up-to-date arrears of rent and electricity charges within one month from today, he would not oppose the continuation of relationship of tenant-landlord till that date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that this offer is accepted to him.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file undertaking to the above effect. However, in case the said undertaking is not filed and in the event of any default, this

petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 28, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**