

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2016.04.11 11:40
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Civil Revision No.2472 of 2016
Date of Decision: 6.4.2016

Aruna Jain and another

---Petitioners

versus

Sneh Prabha and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J.

Counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petition may be disposed of without prejudice to the rights of the petitioners that in case the conversation recorded in the compact disk is proved in accordance with the provisions of Section 65(b) of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 (for short "the Act") the petitioners may file another application for taking voice sample of Sh. Vinod Jain, examined by the defendants to prove the Will of the year 1999 propounded by the defendants alleged to be attested by Sh. Vinod Jain.

Disposed of accordingly.

In case, the petitioners proves the compact disk in accordance with the provisions of Section 65(b) at an appropriate stage of the proceedings, they would be at liberty to file an application for obtaining

voice sample of Sh. Vinod Jain and the same shall be decided by the trial court without being influenced by the order impugned.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

6.4.2016
PARAMJIT