

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

***CR No.2451 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision:27.10.2016***

Dharamvir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Sarika

... Respondent

2. CR No.2458 of 2016 (O&M)

Sarika

... Petitioner

Versus

Dharamvir Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Sarbjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. IPS Kohli, Advocate for the petitioner in CR No.2451 of
2016 and for respondent in CR No.2458 of 2016.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner in CR No.2458
of 2016 and for the respondent in CR No.2451 of 2016.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

This order shall dispose Civil Revision No.2451 of 2016
(Dharamvir Singh Versus Sarika) and Civil Revision No.2458 of 2016
(Sarika Versus Dharamvir Singh) as both these revision petitions are
directed against the order dated 03.02.2016 passed by the learned
Additional District Judge, Amritsar and in terms of which maintenance
pendent-elite @ Rs.7500/- per month was granted to the wife, namely,
Sarika.

Civil Revision No.2458 of 2016 has been preferred by the wife,
Sarika seeking enhancement whereas Civil Revision No.2451 has been filed
by the husband, namely, Dharamvir Singh against the grant of maintenance.

Counsel for the parties in both these revision petitions make a

statement that the matrimonial dispute between the parties has since been settled and even a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, has been filed seeking dissolution of marriage by way of mutual consent and even the statements at the stage of first motion stand recorded.

In the light of such development, counsel appearing for the petitioner(s) in these two connected revision petitions pray for withdrawal of the same.

Both the revision petitions are disposed of as not pressed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file of this case.

27.10.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| 2. Whether reportable? | No |