

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2442 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision.05.04.2016**

Sultan Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Deep Chand and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. N.D. Achint, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner's grievance is that his evidence by way of rebuttal was denied although the rebuttal was sought only with reference to issues, the burden of which was on the respondents. I dispense with notice to the respondents and allow the benefit of such evidence to be given by the petitioner and the Court will also consider if there are any issues which are cast on the petitioner in the consolidated suits and the respondents have to rebut any evidence which is given by the petitioner.

2. The impugned order is set aside and the revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 05, 2016
Pankaj*