

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.244 of 2016
Date of Decision.15.01.2016**

Neena RaniPetitioner

Vs.

Jaimal and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. C.B. Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The counsel for the petitioner says that he does not argue the case on merits and he will move an application before the Court for assessment of appropriate mesne profits. He is at liberty to file whatever application he thinks is possible under the law.

2. The revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn but with liberty as aforesaid.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 15, 2016
Pankaj*