

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.2430 of 2016

Date of Decision : 04.04.2016

Narinder Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Harjinderjeet Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the order of the Appellate Authority fixing mesne profits @ Rs.12,000/- p.m.

Learned counsel has argued that the amount is highly excessive.

It may be mentioned here that the Hon'ble Apex Court has repeatedly held that an order of this nature is only an interim order which is subject to correction at the final stage. Moreover, the Appellate Authority in the present case has clarified that the amount of mesne profits would not be paid to the landlord and would be deposited with the Rent Controller.

In the circumstances, the revision petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to get the same pressed and decided at the final stage as per law.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 04, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE