

208.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.2277 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision:27.04.2016

Hira Lal and others

... Petitioners

versus

Punjab Agro Industries corporation Limited

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The only objection taken by the petitioners is that the residential house is sought to be attached which is against the mandate of Section 60(ccc) of the Civil Procedure Code. The court below has observed that there is no provision of Section 60(ccc) under the Civil Procedure Code. If the Presiding Officer had opened the book to see what the provision contained, he could not have missed it. The order passed is erroneous, the attachment order is equally so. It is vacated. The revision petition is allowed. The copy

of the order is directed to be sent to the Presiding Officer by name (Shri H.S.Madaan) to apprise himself of the existence of the provision of Section 60(ccc) of the Civil Procedure Code as per Punjab amendment.

2. Civil revision is allowed on the above terms.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

27.04.2016
sanjeev