

120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-2331-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.04.2016

Tarlochan Singh and another Petitioners

versus

Dr. Parveen Kumar Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Narinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Neeru Raheja, Advocate for
Mr. Navin Saini, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioners-tenant from the demised premises.

On 01.04.2016 the following order was passed :-

“After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioners states that he has instructions not to press this petition on merits and the petitioners are ready to voluntarily vacate the demised premises within a reasonable time and would not force the respondent/landlord to press the execution application.

Notice of motion for 11.4.2016. Let the respondent be served by way of dasti process through his counsel in the executing Court. To be shown in the urgent list.

Meanwhile, dispossession of the petitioners shall remain stayed.

Today on instructions from his client the learned counsel for the petitioners has stated that the petitioners will not press this petition on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 01.04.2017 without forcing the respondent to file an execution petition and will continue to pay the rent in advance by the 7th of every month on contractual rate and pay the other admissible charges regularly. He further undertakes to pay arrears of rent, if any, within a period of 15 days from today.

Learned counsel for the respondent after taking instructions has also accepted this offer on the condition that the petitioners will file an undertaking to the above effect before the Executing Court within one month, failing which, this revision should be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has accepted this condition.

In the circumstances, the revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

April 11, 2016
Pooja sharma-I