

116.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.2300 of 2016

Date of decision:31.03.2016

Paramjit Singh and another

... Petitioners

versus

Pawanpal Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Ms. Naiya Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The defendants are the revision petitioners. The suit has been filed by the plaintiff, who is the nephew, challenging the power of attorney purported to have been executed by the plaintiff to the 1st defendant as fraudulent and that further the sale effected by the power of attorney in favour of his wife as null and void and not binding on him. The plaintiff has also sought for an interim restraint against alienation. The appellate court granted that relief.

2. In a case where the power of attorney has brought about a sale in favour of his own wife, there are legal contentions to be addressed of whether such a sale could be competent. The plaintiff

has a strong prima facie case and restraint against further alienation shall surely keep the scales even. I make no intervention with the order and dismiss the revision petition.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

31.03.2016
sanjeev