

115.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.2296 of 2016

Date of decision:31.03.2016

Sheoraj

... Petitioner

versus

Asha Devi and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

Pending a suit for consideration of plaintiff's claim as a co-sharer, the court granted an injunction restraining further construction. I will not find any error for intervention in revision petition. I decline the prayer by the petitioner that status quo would be maintained. The order already passed is maintained and the revision petition is dismissed. The counsel wants direction for expeditious disposal before the court below. The petitioner will use all his resourcefulness to seek for such a prayer before the court and get it executed. Revision petition is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

31.03.2016

sanjeev