

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2293 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision.31.03.2016**

Vinod Gupta and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The only grievance of the petitioner is that in application for impleadment in reference brought under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, the Court has held that he is not entitled to the property. The issue of entitlement cannot be tested by the Court under Section 18 reference. I vacate the finding and maintain the order and it will be open for the petitioner to seek for an appropriate reference under Section 30 of the Land Acquisition Act in the manner known to law.

2. The revision petition is dismissed but with the observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 31, 2016
Pankaj*