

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2291-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 18.05.2016

Baldev Raj

..... Petitioner

versus

Smt. Laxmi Rani

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anita Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner will not press this revision on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 30.11.2016 without forcing the respondent-landlady to file an execution petition and will continue to pay the monthly contractual rent in advance by the 7th of every month, and pay the other admissible charges regularly. He further undertakes to pay the entire arrears of rent on 27.05.2016 which is stated to be the date fixed before the Executing Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent-landlady, on instructions, has also accepted this offer on the condition that the petitioner will file an undertaking to the above effect before the Executing Court on the date fixed, with the condition that in the event of any default, this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has accepted this condition.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

18.05.2016
Pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE