

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2267 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision.30.03.2016**

Chander Kant

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Anil Kumar Gupta and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The plaintiff in the rebuttal evidence wanted to produce proof of the exact amount which was received by the defendant for execution of sale of the property. The Court has declined the relief.
2. I dispense with notice to the respondents and direct the evidence to be brought and allow also the defendant to give his own statement if he so desires. The impugned order is modified and the revision petition is disposed of as above.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 30, 2016
Pankaj*