

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C. R. NO.2264 OF 2016

DATE OF DECISION: MARCH 30, 2016

Vir Vikram Seth and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Sohan Lal and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Jayender S. Chandail, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

In the light of the judgement of this Court passed in Civil Revision No.3290 of 2014 (Ajay Partap Singh Vs. Gurdial Singh and others), decided on 13.05.2015, the present revision petition is not maintainable and the remedy available to the petitioner is of an appeal.

Counsel for the petitioner prays for a liberty to avail of the remedy of appeal, which he states will be filed within one week from today. In case the appeal is filed within the abovesaid time, the same shall be entertained by the competent Court without taking any objection with regard to limitation.

With the above observations, the petition stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

March 30, 2016
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE