

CR-2260-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

versus

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the
w allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised

“Learned counsel states that the petitioner does not press this petition on merits and would voluntarily vacate the premises but since he has been running his business for the last more than 40 years adequate time be given to him.

Let the respondent be served by way of dasti process through counsel in the Executing Court. Dasti process.

To be shown in the urgent list."

Today on instructions from his client the learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner will not press this petition on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 31.03.2017 without forcing the respondent to file an execution petition and will continue to pay the rent in advance by the 7th of every month on contractual rate and pay the other admissible charges regularly. He further undertakes to pay arrears of rent, if any, within a period of one month from today.

Learned counsel for the respondents after taking instructions has also accepted this offer on the condition that the petitioner will file an undertaking to the above effect before the Executing Court within one month, failing which, and as a consequence of any other default this revision should be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has accepted this condition.

In the circumstances, the revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 08, 2016

Pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**