

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No. 2214 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision :30.05.2016

Capt. Pankaj Sheel

.....Petitioner

Versus

Priyanka @ Pinki and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Jatin Hans, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

CM No. 10941-CII of 2016

This application has been filed for placing on record affidavit of Manoj Kumar (special power of attorney of the petitioner).

Heard on the application.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, the present application stands allowed and the affidavit of Manoj Kumar (special power of attorney of the petitioner) is taken on record subject to just objections of the opposite party.

CR No. 2214 of 2016.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner pleaded that the order to lead additional evidence to produce the revised

schedule has been procured by the respondents from the learned trial Court by misrepresenting the facts. He contended that the plaintiff has examined PW-3 Deepak Kumar, Assistant Ahlmad, Court of Dr. Archana Sinha, learned Additional District Judge IIIrd Centre, Tis Hazari, Delhi, who has stated that this revised schedule was never permitted to be placed on record and there is no order passed by the Court for taking on record the said revised schedule.

2 If, that is so, the present revision petition is hereby disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move requisite application before the learned trial Court to recall the order dated 11.02.2016 in view of the statement made by PW-3 Deepak Kumar, Assistant Ahlmad. If, any such application is moved by the petitioner, the same shall be decided by the learned trial Court in accordance with law within two months.

30.05.2016

S.khan

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE