

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
Digitally signed by Archana Arora
DN: cn=Archana Arora, o=, ou=, email=archana.arora@haryana.nic.in
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 2169 of 2015
Date of decision : May 11, 2016

Nirmal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jaswinder Singh

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- None.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The case called twice. There is no representation. Even in the previous order it was noticed that service of the respondent could not be completed as counsel for the petitioner did not collect dasti summons. Thereafter there is no report whether service has been effected, which is evident from the report of the registry dated 27.8.2015, 29.11.2015, 3.2.2016 and 6.5.2016.

It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the revision petition.

The revision petition is dismissed for default of appearance and non-prosecution.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 11 , 2016
archana