

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2129 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 21.03.2016**

Pawan Kumar Nagpal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ram Lok

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ashok Aneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate
for the caveator-respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has instructions to not press this petition on merits but in case reasonable time is granted she would vacate the premises voluntarily without forcing the respondent to press the execution. Learned counsel for the petitioner further prays for time till 31.03.2017.

Learned counsel for the caveator-respondent states that in case

the petitioner wants one year's time then she should continue to pay rent at the new rate i.e. Rs.5000/- p.m.

This offer has been accepted by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In the circumstances, this petition is disposed of with a direction that the petitioner would be entitled to retain the premises in dispute till 31.03.2017 on or before which date she will hand over the vacant possession to the respondent without forcing him to press execution. Further the petitioner will continue to pay rent @ Rs.5000/- p.m. to the respondent in advance by the 7th of every month and also pays the other charges regularly. Further it has been agreed that the petitioner will also file an undertaking before the Executing Court within 15 days to the above effect.

At the request of learned counsel for the caveator-respondent, it is stipulated that in default of any of the above conditions this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 21, 2016

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**