

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2016.05.04 14:44
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Civil Revision No. 2087 of 2016
Date of Decision: 3.5.2016

Dhalwinder Singh

---Petitioner

versus

Mandeep Kaur

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. R.S.Sekhon, Advocate
for Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J.

Arguing counsel for the petitioner is stated to be away from Chandigarh.

The present petition was filed to assail order dated 18.12.2015 whereby defence of the petitioner/husband was struck off for want of payment of arrears of maintenance and litigation expenses awarded under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. A relevant extract from order dated 21.3.2016 passed by this Court, reads as follows:-

“.....Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is ready to discharge his liability qua arrears of maintenance as well as litigation expenses but prays for fifteen days time to do the needful.

List on 21.4.2016.”

On 21.4.2016, the order passed by this court reads thus:-

“Arguing counsel for the petitioner is stated to be in personal difficulty.

List on 3.5.2016.”

Counsel for the petitioner is not present before the Court. The petitioner is not present in person to say something about his having cleared the arrears of maintenance.

Keeping in view the above, no further adjournment is justified. As a consequence, the petition is ordered to be dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

3.5.2016
PARAMJIT