

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2093-2015

Date of decision : 18.01.2016

RITU KAPOOR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

SUBHASH CHANDER

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. M.L.Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Namit Gautam, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time learned counsel for the petitioner states that if the respondent is ready to give sufficient time to the petitioner to vacate the premises he would undertake to vacate the same without forcing the respondent to file an execution petition. After consultation between the learned counsel it has been agreed that the petitioner shall file an undertaking in this Court that she would vacate the premises on or before 31.12.2016 and will continue to deposit rent and other charges in advance by the 7th of every month. It is, however, made clear that in case the necessary undertaking in the above form is not given within a period of 15 days this petition would be deemed to be dismissed. In the event of non-deposit of rent the respondent would be entitled to execute the order of eviction passed against the petitioner forthwith.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

**January 18, 2016
sunita**