

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2040 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision :18.03.2016

Dinesh SahAppellant

Versus

Balbir Singh etc.Respondents

Coram Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amol Rattan Singh.

Present: Ms.Ekta Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though undoubtedly opportunity had been granted to the petitioner-claimant before the learned Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Chandigarh, to lead evidence and he had not been able to avail the same, he being a lower level worker (mixing man), who has to prove his income before the Tribunal, he may be given one opportunity to lead evidence in that regard.

In view of the above, I do not find any 'unreasonability' in the order of the learned MACT, Chandigarh, however, since it is a petition filed by a claimant who is stated to have suffered a motor vehicle accident and has suffered imputation of a toe, one opportunity to lead evidence is granted.

Dasti notices would be issued by the learned Tribunal to the petitioner/claimant, for producing the witnesses that he seeks to examine.

The petition is disposed of.

18.03.2016
anil

**(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge**