

**Civil Revision No. 1775 of 2014**  
**Date of Decision: 23.2.2016**

**M/s Krishna Agricultural Steel Works and others**

**---Petitioners**

**versus**

**Ramesh Kumar Bansal and others**

**---Respondents**

**Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal**

Present: Mr. G.S.Punia, Senior Advocate  
with Mr. Harveen Kaur, Advocate  
for the petitioners

Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate  
for respondent No. 1

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

\*\*\*

**Rekha Mittal, J.**

Counsel for the parties are ad idem that the petition may be allowed, impugned order may be set aside with a direction to the trial court to dispose of the application filed under Order XI of the Code of Civil Procedure (in short "CPC") for delivery of interrogatories afresh, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed, the impugned order is set aside with a direction to the trial court to dispose of the application filed under Order XI CPC afresh, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the parties, in accordance with law.

**(Rekha Mittal)**  
**Judge**

**23.2.2016**  
PARAMJIT