

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.1989 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision.17.03.2016

Nirmal Singh and othersPetitioners
Vs.
Tara Singh and othersRespondents

2. C.R. No.2006 of 2016 (O&M)

Nirmal Singh and othersPetitioners
Vs.
Jaskaran Singh and othersRespondents

3. C.R. No.2019 of 2016 (O&M)

Nirmal Singh and othersPetitioners
Vs.
Charanjit Singh and othersRespondents

4. C.R. No.2020 of 2016 (O&M)

Nirmal Singh and othersPetitioners
Vs.
Kali Singh and othersRespondents

5. C.R. No.2021 of 2016 (O&M)

Nirmal Singh and othersPetitioners
Vs.
Darshan Singh and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. HPS Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.5842-CII of 2016 and other connected Cms

The applications for impleading the LRs of deceased-Banwari

Lal are allowed subject to all just exceptions and the legal

representatives are ordered to be brought on record.

Registry is directed to carry out amendment to the memo of parties.

Main cases

1. The orders passed by the Executing Court framing an issue and posting it for trial are set aside dispensing with notice to the respondents, for the matter has already been covered through decision of this Court in **Nirmal Singh Vs. Banwari Lal** in C.R. No.1561 of 2012 dated 02.09.2013 that the execution shall continue further and if the judgment debtor has purchased any right from any one of the decree holders, he has to work out his own suit for partition. He is bound to surrender possession and the Court will not detain itself by entertaining any frivolous objection.
2. All the revision petitions are allowed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

March 17, 2016
Pankaj*