

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1973 of 2016

Date of Decision : 12.04.2016

Nafe Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Garja Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sanjiv Gupta KKR, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the orders dated 05.08.2015 and 15.01.2016 dismissing the application of the petitioner for a direction to the respondent to remove malba from the roof top of the shop in dispute.

Learned counsel for the respondent states actually the picture does not reflect the entire story and as a matter of fact most of the bricks etc. have been removed and the instant application is only a tactic to further delay the proceedings. He has however fairly stated that the respondent will remove all the Malba from the roof top of the shop in dispute but the trial

Court be directed to expedite the trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection if this course of action is followed.

In view of this understanding, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to remove Malba from the roof top forthwith and to the trial Court to expedite the hearing.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 12, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE