

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 1961 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.05.2016**

Vijay Kumar Saini

... Petitioner.

versus

Suresh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate, for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J. (ORAL)

I had already passed an order on 08.04.2016 for consideration of only a plea for the time for vacation and denied to the petitioner the contention that he had right to continue as a tenant. The counsel says that he will not argue the issue only for time and that he would press for the consideration of a point of what was already taken and rejected by this Court. If a plea of consideration for time is not a ground which the counsel would argue now, I dismiss the revision as devoid of merits.

The order already passed on 08.04.2016 will form part of the reasoning given in this order.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

10.05.2016

kv