

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: April 27th, 2016

1. C.R.No.1698 of 2014 (O&M)

Madhu Goel

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajinder Garg

...Respondent

2. C.R.No.5389 of 2014 (O&M)

Rajinder Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

Madhu Goel

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Ravinder Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CR No.1698 of 2014 &
for the respondent in CR No.5389 of 2014.

Mr.N.K.Malhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CR No.5389 of 2014 &
for the respondent in CR No.1698 of 2014.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

By this common order, I intend to dispose of two Civil
Revision Petition Nos.1698 and 5389 of 2014.

CR No.1698 of 2014 is at the instance of the wife seeking
enhancement of the maintenance pendente lite awarded in the application
filed during the pendency of the divorce petition filed by the husband and

CR No.5389 of 2014 is at the instance of the husband for reduction of the

maintenance pendente lite.

It is a matter of record that during the interregnum, divorce petition, aforementioned, has been dismissed vide judgment and decree dated 18.1.2016 and the FAO is pending. The application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act seeking enhancement is no longer sustainable, much less maintainable.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, the present revision petitions are rendered infructuous. Ordered accordingly.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner-wife to move an appropriate application in the pending FAO.

April 27th, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE