

121.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1910 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.03.2016

Dalmir Singh @ Dalmira (since deceased, through his LRs)
... Petitioner

versus

Sant Parkash and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

An order of symbolic possession with the police help is the subject of grievance for the petitioner. According to him, only revenue official can be there and police cannot be present for making a symbolic delivery. I will make no such exception but reiterate that police help is there only to ensure a symbolic possession and this cannot taken to be an order over which the petitioner could feel aggrieved. The presence of police ought not to be taken as unnecessary. If the decree holder believes that even a symbolic delivery at the spot would require a police presence, it is the parties' perception and Executing Court's discretion that will govern the issue on the subject and I am not inclined to make any intervention. Revision petition is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

14.03.2016

sanjeev