

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-191-2016

Date of decision : 13.01.2016

Ajaib Singh Sidhu

..... Petitioner

versus

Dr. Harjeshwar Singh Bajwa

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.G.S.Punia, Senior Advocate with
Mr. G.S.Brar, Advocate for
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rinny Pal Singh Cheema, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the concurrent eviction of
the petitioner from the house of the respondent.

With the intervention of learned counsel, the matter has been
settled.

The learned senior counsel for the petitioner states that he
would not press this petition and would voluntarily vacate the premises
without forcing the respondent to file an execution petition provided one
year's time is granted to the petitioner to vacate the premises.

Learned counsel for the respondent has accepted this and states that if the petitioner files an undertaking before this Court that he would voluntarily vacate the premises on or before 31.12.2016 and continue to pay the rent and other applicable charges in advance by the 7th of every month he would not execute the order in his favour. This small caveat has been accepted by the learned senior counsel for the petitioner.

In view of this agreement, the petition is disposed of. It is however made clear that in case the petitioner does not file the undertaking as above in this Court within a period of 15 days the present petition would be deemed to have been dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(**AJAY TEWARI**)
JUDGE

13.01.2016

Pooja Sharma-I