

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1889 of 2016
Date of decision : March 14, 2016

Pritam Singh (deceased) through L.Rs

..... Petitioner

Versus

Gram Panchayat and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The challenge in the present petition is to the impugned order dated 4.2.2016 whereby the trial court upon an application filed by the defendants recalled the order dated 17.12.2015 whereby evidence of the defendants was closed.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner-plaintiff submits that the remedy, if any, was to file a revision and not by seeking recalling of the order.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, appraised the paper book and of the view that there is no illegality and perversity in the impugned order. The order cannot be said to be without jurisdiction as it is now a settled law that any evidence which

is closed by order, parties are at liberty to move an application for recalling or review of the order. The same has been done by the trial court. The plaintiff has a right to cross examine all the witnesses of the defendants, in case he choses to do so in view of the opportunity granted to the defendants vide impugned order.

The revision petition stands dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 14, 2016
archana