

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1883 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision : March 14, 2016

Madhu Bala

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ravi Parkash and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. V. K. Sandhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the revision petition with liberty to move an application.

Be that as it may, the fact remains that the trial court on the basis of the order passed by this Court dated 10.12.2015 framed the issues vide impugned order. It has been submitted that few witnesses have lead and concluded the evidence and the case is listed for 21.3.2016 for rebuttal and arguments. In case, the respondents-plaintiffs lead the evidence in rebuttal, the grievance of the petitioner, in my view, would stand vindicated.

With the aforementioned observations, the revision petition is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 14, 2016
archana