

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1889 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 31.05.2016

Dr. Amitabh Parti

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajiv Parti and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. D.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate for respondent No.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that compromise has been effected between the parties, therefore, no cause of action survives in the present revision petition and seeks liberty of this Court to withdraw the present revision petition.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Parties shall be bound by compromise.

31.05.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**