

Sr. No.226

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.1884 of 2015(O&M)
Decided on: 16.05.2016

MOHD HANIF

.....Petitioner

Versus

SHEETAL SINGH

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Petitioner in person.

Mr. Jagtar Kureel, Advocate
for the respondent.

4. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
5. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
6. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEARI, J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:

“Present: Petitioner in person.

*Mr. Jagtar Kureel, Advocate
for the respondent.*

The petitioner is present in person and states that his counsel is again in disposed today. On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:-

“There is yet an another request for adjournment on behalf of learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner.

Adjourned to 10.05.2016.

It is made clear that no further adjournment will be granted.”

The petitioner states that he needs a short adjournment to ensure the presence of his counsel or to engage a new counsel.

Learned counsel for the respondent has opposed the prayer. In these circumstances, the matter stands adjourned to 16.05.2016.”

Today, again petitioner is present in person and prays for an adjournment.

In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

May 16, 2016
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE