

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No. 1863 of 2016

Date of decision :14.03.2016

Gurmail Singh Hari Ka (Advocate)

..... Petitioner

Versus

Hakam Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the original agreement to sell has not been brought on record so far and the expert is going to compare the signatures of the petitioner with the Photostat copy of the agreement.

I have perused the impugned order, but in the impugned order it is nowhere mentioned that permission has been granted to respondent no.1 to prove the signatures of Gurmail Singh-petitioner by comparing the specimen/ admitted signatures with the Photostat copy of the agreement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that in case the signatures are compared with Photostat copy, he may be permitted to raise this objection before the learned trial Court and with this liberty the present revision petition may be dismissed as withdrawn.

Ordered accordingly.

14.03.2016

S.khan

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**