

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.1853 of 2016
Date of Decision.11.03.2016**

Smt. Kamla DeviPetitioners

Vs.

Sultan and othersRespondents

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The Presiding Officer, who could not help the party because it was an action plan case, found the technique to close the evidence. I direct reopening of the matter and allow for the evidence to be given.
2. The impugned order is set aside dispensing with notice to the respondents and the revision petition is allowed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 11, 2016
Pankaj*