

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.1812 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision.10.03.2016**

Balwinder SinghPetitioner
Vs.
Mast Ram and anotherRespondents

2. C.R. No.1813 of 2016

Balwinder SinghPetitioner
Vs.
Gurbachan Singh and anotherRespondents

Present: Mr. Amit Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Unusual petitions are filed at the instance of the agreement holder for impleadment in a suit for specific performance brought by the 1st respondent. His own remedy can only be in instituting a suit for specific performance against his vendor and other subsequent holders of agreements and his impleadment in the present suit brought for specific performance at the instance of yet another third party can avail to him no benefit.

2. The revision petitions are dismissed but the petitioner will have other remedy as explained above.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**March 10, 2016
Pankaj***