

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
10:41
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 1804 of 2016

Date of decision : March 10, 2016

Mahinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jeon Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Preetwinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The petitioner is aggrieved of the dismissal of objections being third party, which according to the provisions of Order 21 Rule 101 CPC should be treated as suit and as per provisions of Order 21 Rule 103 CPC is appealable, thus, no revision is maintainable against the impugned order dated 8.12.2015. The remedy, if any, is to file an appeal.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the revision petition to avail remedy in accordance with law.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

**March 10 , 2016
archana**