

261

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-1775-2016

Date of decision : 03.05.2016

Gagan @ Gagan Singh @ Baru Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Jaswinder Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naresh Kumar Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim petition was filed under the name of Gagan @ Gagan Singh, whereas the name of the petitioner-plaintiff was Baru Singh and on this account, the application seeking release of the amount of compensation on attaining the majority, has been declined.

I am of the view that until and unless, the petitioner does not seek the declaration qua correction of the name, the order, under challenge, is perfectly legal and justified and the Court had no occasion, but to reject the

same. On seeking the declaration, an application may be filed and thereafter, Motor Accident Claims Tribunal (MACT) shall decide the application in accordance with law.

The revision petition stands disposed of.

03.05.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE