

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RFA No.3506 of 2003 and other connected matters
Date of decision: 13.01.2016

Jagir Singh

... Appellant

Vs.

Special Land Acquisition Collector and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Kewal Krishan, Advocate for
Mr. Premjit Kalia, Advocate
for the appellant (s) (in RFA Nos.3506 to 3508, 3813 to 3830,
4070, 4072, 4073, 4425, 4426, 4442 of 2003).

Mr. Vivek Singla, Senior Panel Counsel
for Union of India.
(in RFA Nos.2162, 2240 to 2262, 2348 to 2350 of 2004).

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. AG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? YES/NO
2. To be referred to the reporters or not? YES/NO
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? YES/NO

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J.

This batch of 54 appeals bearing Regular First Appeal Nos. 3506 to 3508, 3813 to 3830, 4070, 4072, 4073, 4425, 4426, 4442 of 2003, 2162, 2240 to 2262, 2348 to 2350 of 2004, twenty seven appeals each, filed by Union of India and land owners, is being decided vide this common order, as all these appeals are arising out of the same acquisition, raising identical questions of law and facts.

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that this bunch of appeals is squarely covered by LPA Bench judgment of this Court in **Harinder**

Pal Singh Vs. Punjab State through Collector, 1997 (3) RCR (Civil) 431, which has been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Union of India Vs. Harinderpal Singh and others, 2005 (4) RCR (Civil) 638**. They jointly pray for disposal of these cases in terms of the Division Bench judgment in **Harinderpal Singh's** case (supra).

Since the learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that all these cases are squarely covered by the LPA bench judgment of this Court in **Harinderpal Singh's** case (supra), which has been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court as well, these cases are disposed of, in terms of the LPA bench judgment of this Court rendered in **LPA No.729 of 1985, decided on 15.10.1996 (Harinderpal Singh and another Vs. Punjab State through Collector and another)**. It is also worth noting here that learned counsel for the parties did not raise any other argument on any other aspect of the matter.

In view of the abovesaid common statement made by learned counsel for the parties, all these cases are disposed of, in terms of the LPA bench judgment of this Court in **Harinderpal Singh's** case (supra), however, with no order as to costs.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

13.01.2016
vishnu