

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1771 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 17.03.2016

Anil Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Mohan Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order dated 01.02.2016 declining amendment in the written statement to the ejectment petition 4½ years after the trial has started.

The plea taken is that the petitioner was not aware that in the written statement he had accepted his status as that of a tenant. Further the case of the respondent-landlord also was that the petitioner was a licensee and therefore the only amendment which the petitioner wanted to make was that he wanted to abandon the plea that he was a tenant and that he only wanted to have the plea that he was a licensee. The Rent Controller held against the petitioner on two grounds, firstly delay and secondly that it

could not be believed that the petitioner did not know that what was his stand in the written statement.

I find no fault with the findings of the Rent Controller.
Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc.
Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 17, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE