

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1729 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 22.04.2016

Sh.Gurdial Mal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Smt.Suman Bala and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Babbar, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

On 22.03.2016 the following order was passed:-

“ *After arguing for some time, learned counsel for*

the petitioner states that h does not wish to press this revision n merits but since the the petitioner is running a business in the premises in dispute for the last many years he is ready to vacate the said premises voluntarily without forcing the respondents to press the execution incase reasonable time is granted for the same.

I find this to be a fair submission.

Notice of motion.

Let the respondent be served through their counsel in the Executing Court by way of dasti process.

Adjourned to 22.04.2016.

In the meantime, the dispossession of the petitioner shall remain stayed.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

Today with the intervention of learned counsel this dispute has been settled. In response to the offer made, the learned counsel for the respondent-landlord on instructions has stated that in case the petitioner-tenant files an undertaking before the Rent Controller within 15 days that he would vacate the premises voluntarily and give vacant physical possession to the respondent on or before 20.10.2016 without forcing the respondent to press execution application and continue to pay rent in advance by the 7th of every month and also pays other charges regularly and will also pay the up-to-date arrears of rent within one month from today, he would not oppose the continuation of relationship of tenant-landlord till that date.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that this offer is accepted to him.

Resultantly, the revision petition stands disposed of with a

direction to the petitioner to file undertaking to the above effect. However, in case the said undertaking is not filed this petition would be deemed to be dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 22, 2016
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE