

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1727 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 22.04.2016

Jatinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Mrs. Wekanti Leong and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the order dated 04.02.2016 passed by the Appellate Authority whereby the mesne profits have been assessed @ Rs.12,000/- p.m.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-landlady has argued that the impugned order is completely cryptic in so much as the Appellate Authority has just fixed the mesne profits by mere ipse dixit without considering the rival contentions.

Learned counsel for the respondents is not in a position to deny

that the Appellate Authority has not considered various points which may have urged by either side and the material placed on record by either side.

In the circumstances, the impugned order is set aside and the direction is given to the Appellate Authority to pass a fresh order in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

Petition stands allowed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 22, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE