

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1719 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 04.03.2016

Harjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kamlesh Kumari and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Petitioner in person.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the order dated 18.02.2016 whereby the application for cancelling the power of attorney of respondent No.1 has been rejected.

The respondent No.1-landlord had filed an eviction petition and was prosecuting it through Special Power of Attorney Sh. Randeep Sharma. The petitioner moved the instant application to the effect that Special Power of Attorney was not valid and therefore the petition be rejected but the Court below rejected the same.

The petitioner who is present in Court has argued that there were no signatures on the reverse side of the stamp paper purchased by the

respondent No.1 and the Special Power of Attorney was not registered.

As has been observed by the Court below, there is no requirement for these formalities. Once the respondent No.1 has executed the Special Power of Attorney to prosecute the case, the fact that it was unregistered or there was no signature on its reverse side would not render it null and void.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 04, 2016
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE