

122.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1715 of 2016

Date of decision:09.03.2016

Sohan Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Tarsem Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: None.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The respondent in appeal has not been served since he is living in a foreign country. An attempt to serve him through the Ministry of Law and Justice has not yet yielded fruit. The appeal has been dismissed for non-compliance with the directions. Having regard to the fact that the respondent has not yet been served, I dispense with notice to the respondent in the revision and set aside the order and allow for fresh opportunity to be given to the petitioner to serve the unserved respondent in accordance with law.

2. Revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

09.03.2016

sanjeev