

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2016.03.21 11:21
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Civil Revision No.1693 of 2016
Date of Decision: 16.3.2016

Pawan Kumar

---Petitioner

versus

Rampal @ Ramphal and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J.

The present petition has been directed against order dated 10.2.2016 (Annexure P-4) passed by the District Judge, Karnal, dismissing an application for transfer of civil suit No. 12/15 dated 24.8.2015 and civil suit No. 3049/2015 pending in the Court of Civil Judge (Junior Division), Karnal.

Counsel for the petitioner would urge that as Reader of the Court is proclaiming that he would help the plaintiffs/respondents in getting favourable order, it is expedient in the interest of justice that both the cases are transferred to some other Court.

I have heard counsel for the petitioner and perused the records particularly the order impugned but find no merit in the petition.

The Reader of the Court is one of the members of the ministerial staff attached to a Presiding Officer and he has no role to play in adjudication of contesting claims of the parties. At the same time, in case any conduct of the Reader rendering him unfit to discharge his duties as such is noticed by the petitioner, he is always at liberty to approach the Presiding Officer or the District Judge for redressal of his grievance. In this view of the matter, I do not find any merit in the contention of the petitioner or to find fault with the order impugned.

Dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

16.3.2016
PARAMJIT