

115.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1616 of 2016

Date of decision:02.03.2016

Sanjeev Kumar ... Petitioner

versus

Jaipal and another Respondents

II. Civil Revision No.1624 of 2016

Sanjeev Kumar ... Petitioner

versus

Bhim Singh (since deceased, through his LRs) and another
.... Respondents

III. Civil Revision No.1632 of 2016

Sanjeev Kumar ... Petitioner

versus

Om Parkash and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ? No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

There is really nothing for adjudication, for, in a suit
where the plaintiff has originally pleaded that the agreement is null

and void or enforceable, he sought for an amendment to also introduce the expression that the agreement should be treated as cancelled. Cancellation is an euphemism for a document that would require to be set aside. I do not think there is any error in the orders allowing for amendment. Revision petitions are dismissed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

02.03.2016
sanjeev