

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
09/02/2016 10:48
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 1623 of 2015

Date of decision : February 29, 2016

Gian Chand Pruthi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ms. Meenu and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Atul Gaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the suit has already been decided and no cause of action survives. The revision petition has become infructuous and the same be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

**February 29 , 2016
archana**