

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R No. 3326 of 1999 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 28, 2016

Matu Ram,

..... Petitioner

v.

Ram Sarup,

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. RK Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate
for the respondent.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

Counsel for the respondent states that this petition has been rendered infructuous since the petitioner has handed over possession of the demised premises to the respondent.

Counsel for the petitioner states that he is not in a position to

C.R No. 3326 of 1999 (O&M)

::2::

either confirm or deny this fact because despite his best efforts, he has not been able to contact his client.

In the circumstances, this petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive this petition if the above factual position is found to be incorrect.

May 28, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE