

122.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.1569 of 2016 (O&M)**

Date of decision:29.02.2016

Pardeep and another

... Petitioners

versus

Kusum and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN**

----

Present: Mr. Parshant Sethi, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

----

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

----

**K.Kannan, J. (Oral)**

1. The plaintiffs, who were examined in chief and who were not present in court on the date when it was called, were faced with the situation of their side having been closed as far as their evidence was concerned and only one witness was permitted to be cross-examined. By closing the evidence, the plaintiffs have a grievance that their evidence was likely to be eschewed and they want an opportunity to be given. Having regard to the nature of plea made, I dispense with notice to the respondents and also grant an opportunity to present themselves at the court on the date when the case is posted subject to payment of costs of ₹10,000/- to the

defendants as a condition precedent for having the order set aside.

The payment shall be made to the contesting defendants within a period of 2 weeks. If the amount is not paid, the opportunity granted shall be taken as withdrawn.

2. The revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

**(K.KANNAN)**  
**JUDGE**

29.02.2016  
sanjeev