

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 1413 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: January 11, 2016

Harjit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Jatinder Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The revision is against the denial to additional documents, which the plaintiffs wanted to produce, which were in the nature of proof of status of the child (petitioner No.2), as the child of the deceased and the fact that the plaintiffs were residing with the deceased. The suit was with reference to the certain benefits accruing to the estate of the deceased. The documents sought to be filed were matriculation certificate and ration card, nothing of which can be manufactured at any time and the genuinenity cannot also be denied. I set aside the order already passed by the court below and allow the documents to be received by way of additional evidence. For the sheer indiscretion of not filing the documents at

appropriate time, I impose cost of Rs. 5,000/- for the contesting defendant before the court below. The cost be paid within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

2. The revision is allowed on the above terms.

January 11, 2016
prem

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

