

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

**C.M. NOS.6753 AND 8227 CII OF 2015 &
C. R. NO.1475 OF 2015**

DATE OF DECISION: MARCH 31, 2016

Vinod Chander Khera

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Rajinder Parshad Gupta

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Vikas Bahl, Sr.Advocate with
Mr. Vitish Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Khurana, Advocate &
Ms. Anjali Moudgil, Advocate for
Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

C.M. NOS.6753 AND 8227 CII OF 2015

Prayer in these applications is for placing on record documents
appended alongwith the applications.

The applications are allowed subject to just exceptions.

Documents are taken on record. Exemption is also granted from
filing its typed/certified copies.

C. R. NO.1475 OF 2015

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from Sh.Vinod Chander Khera, petitioner, who is present in Court, states that he does not want to pursue the present revision petition any further. Counsel for the petitioner, however, states that after remand of the matter by the Appellate Authority, Chandigarh, to the Rent Controller, Chandigarh, the same is pending adjudication since long inspite of the fact that the petitioner is seeking eviction of the respondent on the ground of personal necessity. Thus, the only prayer of the petitioner is that the proceedings before the Rent Controller, Chandigarh, be got expedited.

Counsel for the respondent has no objection to the prayer made by counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The Rent Controller, Chandigarh, shall make an endeavour to finalize the proceedings at the earliest possible.

March 31, 2016
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE